

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/797,015 | MBACHU ET AL. | |

| | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Monica A. Fontaine | 1732 | |

All Participants: _____

(1) Monica A. Fontaine. (3) _____.

(2) Mary Breiner. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 April 2005

Time: 330pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

13

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Ms. Briener called the examiner to note a typographical error in claim 13 (see notice of allowance mailed 8 April 2005). The examiner will correct the error and mail a supplemental notice of allowance herewith.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)